Filed 09/19/3009MERage 1 of 9

DATE FILED:

ELECTRONICALLY FILE

DOC #

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PETER W. LINDNER,

06 Civ. 4751 (RJS) (DFE)

Plaintiff,

(This is no longer an ECF case.)

- against -

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

DOUGLAS F. EATON, United States Magistrate Judge.

- 1. I hereby deny Plaintiff's August 14, 2009 Motion to Compel Depositions by Named Defendants (Document #109). My reasons are essentially the reasons set forth in Defendants' August 24, 2009 Response (Document #111).
- 2. On September 17, 2009, I received from Plaintiff a faxed affidavit, and I annex a copy. It is labeled as a "Joint Letter to Magistrate Judge for Investigation." However, Plaintiff makes clear that this is not a joint letter, but rather an affidavit stating only his position.
- 3. In this affidavit at $\P\P$ 5-6 Plaintiff requests an order directing the U.S. Marshal Service to preserve any videotapes made concerning Plaintiff's visit to our Courthouse on September 4, 2009. The affidavit also complains that persons at the Pro Se Office refused to submit his documents to Chief Judge Preska, and he requests me to order an investigation.
- 4. I find that all of the requests in this affidavit are frivolous, and I deny them.
- 5. I believe there are no longer any motions or requests pending before me in this case.

DOUGLAS F. EATON

United States Magistrate Judge

Day las 7. Eath

Dated: New York, New York September 17, 2009

> USDC SDNY DATE SCANNED 9/18/09

Copies of this Memorandum and Order (and of Plaintiff's 9/17/09 affidavit) are being sent by mail to:

Peter W. Lindner 1 Irving Place, Apt. G-23-C New York, NY 10003 (also by fax to 212-979-9647 fax)

Kevin G. Lauri, Esq.
Jackson Lewis LLP
59 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(also by fax to 212-972-3213 fax)

Hon. Richard J. Sullivan

UNITED STATES DISTRICT CO SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW		
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW	roide	06 Civ. 4751 (RJS) (DFE)
	X	This is not an ECF Case
PETER W. LINDNER,		
Plai	ntiff,	JOINT LETTER TO MAGIST JUDGE FOR INVESTIGATION
-against-		TO DELAY TO US JUDGE
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS N CORPORATION, ROBERT VAI HEATHER CHRISTO HIGGINS, and JOHN DOE #2, De	NDERHEYDEN, , JOHN DOE #1, fendants.	
	Thursday, Septembe	r 17, 2009
STATE OF NEW YORK) :	SS.:	
COUNTY OF NEW YORK)		
PETER LINDNER, being d	luly sworn, says:	

To Magistrate Judge Eaton:

- 1. I am the Plaintiff in this action.
- 2. Originally, this was a joint request by IBM's Counsel Kevin Lauri, Esq. and Peter Lindner (Pro Se litigant and Defendant).
- 3. However, IBM has had this (precursor) document for 4 days and has no objections to it. Silence gives consent. The original "precursor" document is in Appendix A. The cover letter to it is in Appendix B.
- 4. This document has minor modifications, to conform with Kevin Lauri's and Dana Weisbrod's decision to not co-sign this letter, nor to modify it, nor to object to it, nor to suggest alternate procedures on how to deal with this, nor to give a different timeframe.
- 5. Another modification is that Deputy US Marshal Paul Brunhuber just called me Plaintiff Lindner at 4:30pm-7:30pm on Thursday, September 17, 2009 on my cell phone and indicated that he will not turn over his investigative notes, nor will he complete the investigation, nor will he preserve the video tapes of Friday, September 4, 2009 from 4:30pm to 7:30pm of Lindner's appearance, comings, goings, inside and outside security cameras, which get deleted in perhaps 4 weeks, which is 2 weeks from now.
- 6. Hence, Your Honor is needed to issue an order to preserve the abovesaid ¶5 videotapes of 4:30pm-7:30pm on Thursday, September 17, 2009 of SDNY and environs, and or allow Plaintiff Lindner to again issue subpoenas to handle these developments of possible felonies stemming from a civil case.

- 7. Thus, IBM and Peter Lindner both agree that the matter of Peter Lindner's copies of motions going to Chief Judge Preska via the Pro Se Office and being returned should be investigated, due to its seriousness as a violation of 18 U.S.C. §1512(b)(3) and punishable by up to 20 years imprisonment. Since 06cv4751 is a civil case, we the parties wish to clear up that there is any criminal involvement in these matters.
- Accordingly we jointly request Your Honor to request the lawful investigative authorities to clear the names of the parties' involvement in this criminal offense.
- 9. The facts of the matter are as follows:
- 10. WHEREAS, Plaintiff Lindner and IBM, R. Vanderheyden, H. Christo-Higgins, John Doe#1, and John Doe#2 ("the parties") are engaged in a civil suit 06cv4751
- 11. WHEREAS, Peter Lindner had a complaint about witness tampering by Kevin Lauri, which is a possible commission of a federal offense, and could result in the "discipline of attorneys"
- 12. WHEREAS, Mr. Lindner submitted that complaint to Chief Judge Preska several times (namely: 4 separate submissions of those documents)
- 13. WHEREAS, Mr. Lindner's second submission was returned by Ms. Alison Cavale of the Pro Se Office with a cover letter explaining why there was no "need" for Chief Judge Preska to get the document;
- 14. WHEREAS, Ms. Cavale may have been instructed or assisted to write such a letter,
- 15. WHEREAS, Chief Judge Preska directs the Grievance Committee and appoints its members, as per SDNY "Local Civil Rule 1.5. Discipline of Attorneys" as excerpted here:

Local Civil Rule 1.5. Discipline of Attorneys

- (a) Committee on Grievances. The chief judge shall appoint a committee of the board of judges known as the Committee on Grievances, which under the direction of the chief judge shall have charge of all matters relating to the discipline of attorneys. The chief judge shall appoint a
- 16. WHEREAS, At least one of those documents, and maybe 3 were returned to Mr. Lindner. The 4th document got through, after a delay.
- 17. WHEREAS, The Pro Se Office requires all documents to judges to be sent via the Pro Se Office, as per Standing Order M10-468, Document #58
- http://www1.nysd.uscourts.gov/prose/pro se litigation.pdf
- 18. WHEREAS, Whether or not Kevin Lauri did or did not commit witness tampering, both Mr. Lauri and Mr. Lindner agree that to delay such information going to a Judge is a felony, and would like this matter <u>fully investigated</u> so that it can be cleared up. The law of 18 U.S.C. §1512(b)(3) is excerpted here:

11

- (b) Whoever knowingly uses intimidation, threatens, or corruptly persuades another person, or attempts to do so, or engages in misleading conduct toward another person, with intent to—
 - (3) hinder, delay, or prevent the communication to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States of information relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense or a violation of conditions of probation [1] supervised release,, [1] parole, or release pending judicial proceedings;

shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both.

- 19. Whereas, the parties agree that 18 U.S.C. §1512(b)(3) would mean that, to paraphrase it using its own statutory language, some one delayed the information from Plaintiff Lindner to Chief Judge Preska containing information about a possible commission of a federal offense, to wit: "Whoever knowingly ... attempts ... to ... hinder, delay or prevent the communication to a ... judge of the United States of information related to the ... possible commission of a Federal offense ... shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both."
- 20. Now Therefore, we the parties do hereby formally request a full investigation by the lawful authorities, so that the origin of such a "delay" in getting "information" to Chief Judge Preska can be seen as innocent, or malicious, or suggested by a person or persons unknown, "whoever" they may be. The lawful authorities can be requested by Your Honor as to either the US Attorney, the FBL or the US Marshal.

wis
wis

Appendix A: Original 2-page "precursor document" Joint letter sent to Kevin Lauri, for inspection

UNITED STATES DISTRIC SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF		
		06 Civ. 4751 (RJS) (DFE)
	X	This is not an ECF Case
PETER W. LINDNER		
	Plaintiff	JOINT LETTER TO MAGISTRATE
		JUDGE FOR INVESTIGATION AS
-against-		TO DELAY TO US JUDGE
INTERNATIONAL BUSINE CORPORATION, ROBERT HEATHER CHRISTO HIGG and JOHN DOE #2,	VANDERHEYDEN,	
	Defendants.	
	X	

Sunday, September 13, 2009

To Magistrate Judge Eaton:

IBM and Peter Lindner both agree that the matter of Peter Lindner's copies of motions going to Chief Judge Preska via the Pro Se Office and being returned should be investigated, due to its seriousness as a violation of 18 U.S.C. §1512(b)(3) and punishable by up to 20 years imprisonment. Since 06cv4751 is a civil case, we the parties wish to clear up that there is any criminal involvement in these matters. Accordingly we jointly request Your Honor to request the lawful investigative authorities to clear the names of the parties' involvement in this criminal offense.

The facts of the matter are these:

- WHEREAS, Plaintiff Lindner and IBM, R. Vanderheyden, H. Christo-Higgins, John Doe#1, and John Doe#2 ("the parties") are engaged in a civil suit 06cv4751
- WHEREAS, Peter Lindner had a complaint about witness tampering by Kevin Lauri, which is a possible commission of a federal offense, and could result in the "discipline of attorneys"
- WHEREAS, Mr. Lindner submitted that complaint to Chief Judge Preska several times (namely: 4 separate submissions of those documents)
- WHEREAS, Mr. Lindner's second submission was returned by Ms. Alison Cavale of the Pro Se Office
 with a cover letter explaining why there was no "need" for Chief Judge Preska to get the document,
- 5. WHEREAS, Ms. Cavale may have been instructed or assisted to write such a letter,
- WHEREAS, Chief Judge Preska directs the Grievance Committee and appoints its members, as per SDNY
 "Local Civil Rule 1.5. Discipline of Attorneys" as excepted here:

Local Civil Rule 1.5. Discipline of Attorneys

(a) Committee on Grievances. The chief judge shall appoint a committee of the board of

judges known as the Committee on Grievanees, which under the direction of the chief judge shall

have charge of all matters relating to the discipline of attorneys. The chief judge shall appoint a

- WHEREAS, At least one of those documents, and maybe 3 were returned to Mr. Lindner. The 4th document got through, after a delay.
- WHEREAS, The Pro Se Office requires all documents to judges to be sent via the Pro Se Office, as per Standing Order M10-468, Document #58

- http://www1.nvsd.uscourts.gov/prose/pro_se_litigation.pdf
- 9. WHEREAS. Whether or not Kevin Lauri did of did not commit witness tampering, both Mr. Lauri and Mr. Lindner agree that to delay such information going to a Judge is a felony, and would like this matter fully investigated so that it can be cleared up. The law of 18 U.S.C. §1512(b)(3) is excerpted here:
- **(b)** Whoever knowingly uses intimidation, threatens, or corruptly persuades another person, or attempts to do so, or engages in misleading conduct toward another person, with intent to—
 - (3) hinder, delay, or prevent the communication to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States of information relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense or a violation of conditions of probation ^[1] supervised release, ^[3] parole, or release pending judicial proceedings;

shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both.

- 10. WHEREAS, the parties agree that 18 U.S.C. §1512(b)(3) would mean that, to paraphrase it using its own statutory language, some one delayed the information from Plaintiff Lindner to Chief Judge Preska containing information about a possible commission of a federal offense, to wit: "Whoever knowingly ... attempts ... to ... hinder, delay or prevent the communication to a ... judge of the United States of information related to the ... possible commission of a Federal offense ... shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both."
- 11. Now Therefore, we the parties do hereby formally request a full investigation by the lawful authorities, so that the origin of such a "delay" in getting "information" to Chief Judge Preska can be seen as imnocent, or malicious, or suggested by a person or persons unknown, "whoever" they may be. The lawful authorities can be requested by Your Honor as to either the US Attorney, the FBI or the US Marshal.

Ву:	Date:	Ву:		Date:
Peter W. Lindner Plaintiff, Pro Se			Kevin Lauri, Esq. Defendants' Lawyer	. JacksonLewis
1 Irving Place, Apt. G-23-C New York, New York 10003		Ву:		Date:
Home & Fax: 212-979-9647 Cell: 917-207-4962			Dana Weisbrod, Esq. Defendants' Lawyer	

Appendix B: Cover letter to Original "precursor document"

06 Civ. 4751 (RJS) (DFE)
This is not an ECF Case

REQUEST FOR JOINT LETT
TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE O
FELONY INVESTIGATION I
CLEAR THE NAMES OF THE
PEOPLE PEOPLE
•
pose it, and why.
f felonious conduct would be
iges you want, or if you

- (b) Whoever knowingly uses intimidation, threatens, or corruptly persuades another person, or attempts to do so, or engages in misleading conduct toward another person, with intent to—
 - (3) hinder, delay, or prevent the communication to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States of information relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense or a violation of conditions of probation [1] supervised release,, [1] parole, or release pending judicial proceedings;

Document 121

shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both.

- 19. Whereas, the parties agree that 18 U.S.C. §1512(b)(3) would mean that, to paraphrase it using its own statutory language, some one delayed the information from Plaintiff Lindner to Chief Judge Preska containing information about a possible commission of a federal offense, to wit: "Whoever knowingly ... attempts ... to ... hinder, delay or prevent the communication to a ... judge of the United States of information related to the ... possible commission of a Federal offense ... shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both."
- 20. Now Therefore, we the parties do hereby formally request a full investigation by the lawful authorities, so that the origin of such a "delay" in getting "information" to Chief Judge Preska can be seen as innocent, or malicious, or suggested by a person or persons unknown, "whoever" they may be. The lawful authorities can be requested by Your Honor as to either the US Attorney, the FBI or the US Marshal.

By: With White	Date: 9/17/2019	Ву: _	Date:
Peter W. Lindner Plaintiff, Pro Se			Kevin Lauri, Esq. Defendants' Lawyer, JacksonLewis
l Irving Place, Apt. G-23-C		Ву: _	Date:
New York, New York 10003			
Home & Fax: 212-979-9647			Dana Weisbrod, Esq.
Cell: 917-207-4962			Defendants' Lawyer, JacksonLewis

Sworn to before me

Public JOHN POPESCU No.01P06142750 Qualified in Bronx County COMMISSION EXPIRES 03/20/2010

September, 2009